

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/038,203	CHAKRABORTY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tony Mahmoudi	2165	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) a. Wesley Ferrebee (Attorney of Record).

(3) _____.

(2) Tony Mahmoudi.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2006

Time: 3:00 pm EDT

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

After-Final Amendment of 27-April-2006

Claims discussed:

37, 39, 52, 54, 67, and 69

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called the attorney and discussed 112(2nd p) issues with claims 37, 52, and 67, as well as 101 issues with claims 52 and 67 (computer readable medium defined in the spec to comprise storage and transmission devices); and redundancy of claims 39, 54, 69, not further limiting their base claims. Examiner requested permission to amend the claims to overcome these issues. On 16-May-2006, the Attorney emailed the Examiner an amended set of claims to be entered via an Examiner's Amendment.